

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/520,474	HUNIG, THOMAS
	<b>Examiner</b> ILIA OUSPENSKI	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) ILIA OUSPENSKI

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) ANN A. WIECZOREK

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 August 2010**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Applicant provided authorization for the Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/ILIA OUSPENSKI/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)